

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

mi

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/710,356	07/02/2004	Steven T. Shaughnessy	BORL/0222.00	4355		
28653 JOHN A. SMA	28653 7590 05/15/2007 JOHN A. SMART					
708 BLOSSON	M HILL RD., #201	SANDERS, AARON J				
LOS GATOS, CA 95032			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2168			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/15/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/710,356	SHAUGHNESSY, STEVEN T.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Aaron Sanders	2168		

	Aaron Sanders	2168	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	cliance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	filed within two month	no of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	•		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	ducina accimentifica	4b - : f
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or	iter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		cotca olamio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant,Amendment	(FTOL-324).
<ul><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>		Aimento filad amandana	
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable ii submitted in a separate,	umely liled amendme	ant canceling the
7. Sor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi	Il be entered and an e	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•.•		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	•	•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-8,10-19,21-24 and 26-30</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	d before as on the date of filing a N	ation of Annual will be	at he entered
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to providé a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
<u>.</u>			
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.  Other:		-n.	
		1 49	
	<b>&amp;</b> .		
		TIM VO	

TIM VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3.a) The amended claims raise new issues that would require further search and consideration, for example, the limitation "creating a read-only view" in claims 1 and 17.

Continuation of 3.b) The amended claims raise the issue of new subject matter because the limitation "read-only view" does not appear to be disclosed in the instant specification. A "read-only cache view" is discussed with respect to Fig. 4b, but the remarks do not indicate that these elements are the same.